

---

# Idaho County-Level Demographic & Housing Data Sheets

---

Idaho Housing and Finance Association  
2018 Housing Needs Assessment

---



## **IDAHO COUNTY-LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC & HOUSING DATA SHEETS**

The following profiles provide a snapshot of the housing market in the State of Idaho, its 44 individual counties, and the two Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA) that are contained entirely within the State's boundaries. When taken as a whole, each profile examines the health of the housing market by profiling the demographics, housing inventory, and housing affordability in each geographic area.

### **Data Sources**

Population and household data were obtained from the Census Bureau for 2000 and 2016. Employment data came from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, averaged over the four quarters spanning 2017. The assisted housing inventory and expiring contract data were retrieved from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Picture of Subsidized Housing 2017 and the Department of Agriculture Rural Development Datasets 2017.

Cost burden data by income and tenure were determined using the HUD Area Median Family Income (HAMFI) and the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) datasets. A household is cost burdened if it is spending 30% or more of its monthly income on a mortgage or rent, plus utilities. The CHAS dataset defines a small family household as being only two persons, while a large family household has more than two members. An elderly household is a household that contains one person who is age 62 or older, or a family of two persons where each is at least 62 years old.

The housing affordability gap was identified by analyzing Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) datasets using weights for each county in order to account for PUMS Area (PUMA) boundaries that cross county borders. The Affordability Gap analysis is based on a methodology developed by the National Low Income Housing Coalition and the Urban Institute. Additional calculations were conducted by Mullin & Lonergan Associates. Affordability in each area is found by first determining the area median income (AMI) and the monthly median cost to rent or buy a home – including utilities, property taxes, and insurance. Housing is considered affordable if these costs do not exceed 30% of a household's income.

The Affordability Gap is determined by taking the number of housing units occupied by households in a particular income group (i.e. 30% or less of AMI) and dividing by the total number of households in this group. The resulting number is multiplied by 100 to find the "gap" per 100 households in a particular income group. The final result shows how many households in an income group are occupying housing that does not cost beyond 30% of that household's income. The actual gap is 100 minus this end result, which is portrayed by red arrows on the graph.

Note: PUMS data is the survey responses received in the American Community Survey scrubbed of possible identifying information. As such, it is subject to the same constraints as any survey, such as margin of error.

Housing units that are affordable and available – meaning they are affordable to a particular income group *and* they are occupied by a household with an income that falls within that group – are counted. The number of affordable and available units within a particular income group is compared with the number of households in that income group, which reveals the gap between households and housing units that are both affordable and available.

Housing and transportation costs were retrieved from the Housing and Transportation Index developed by the Center for Neighborhood Technology. The income groups used are: Very Low Income = 50% of area median household income, Moderate Income = 80% of area median household income, and Median Income = 100% of area median household income. Housing and Transportation Costs are not available at the State level because this index measures commuter patterns within and between MSAs.

The MSA level analysis was conducted only for the two MSAs that lie entirely within Idaho. The Lewiston and Logan MSAs were excluded because they contain counties outside of Idaho. The Pocatello MSA consists of only Bannock County and the Coeur d'Alene MSA consists of only Kootenai County. The MSA level data for these two metro areas can be found using the Bannock and Kootenai County profiles, respectively.

*Sources: United States Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2000 and American Community Survey 2012 – 2016.*

*Department of Housing and Urban Development, Picture of Subsidized Housing 2017 and Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) 2013*

*United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development Data Sets 2017*

*Bureau of Labor Statistics Local Area Unemployment Statistics 2017 and Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages 1Q2018*


*Housing and Transportation Index, 2016: <https://htaindex.cnt.org/>*

# State of Idaho

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>1,293,953</u>	2000 Households	<u>469,645</u>
2016 Population	<u>1,635,483</u>	2016 Households	<u>596,107</u>
Population Change	<u>26.4%</u>	Household Change	<u>26.9%</u>
Rank in State	<u>-</u>	% Households 65+	<u>27.2%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>27.0%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>11,066</u>	<u>9,924</u>	<u>6,775</u>	<u>4,836</u>	<u>6,000</u>	<u>11,743</u>
Large Family Household	<u>2,144</u>	<u>2,518</u>	<u>1,947</u>	<u>1,505</u>	<u>2,272</u>	<u>4,061</u>
Elderly Household	<u>3,720</u>	<u>5,061</u>	<u>3,233</u>	<u>5,815</u>	<u>7,034</u>	<u>7,690</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

52.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

78.6%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
Median Income Households	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 686,013



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>16,562</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>1,200</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>8.9%</u>

# Ada County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	300,904	2000 Households	113,408
2016 Population	425,798	2016 Households	160,398
Population Change	41.5%	Household Change	41.4%
Rank in State	1	% Households 65+	23.3%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	20.1%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	2,845	2,530	2,085	1,070	1,565	2,295
Large Family Household	410	425	515	165	535	960
Elderly Household	1,000	1,345	865	1,270	1,420	1,905


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.9%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

79.6%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	138.1%	62.3%	77.5%	41.1%
Moderate Income Households	86.3%	38.9%	48.4%	25.7%
Median Income Households	69.1%	31.1%	38.8%	20.6%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 167,739



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	3,258
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	549
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	6.3%

# Adams County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	3,476	2000 Households	1,421
2016 Population	3,865	2016 Households	1,655
Population Change	11.2%	Household Change	16.5%
Rank in State	40	% Households 65+	40.5%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	32.7%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	10	44	4	45	40	35
Large Family Household	0	0	0	4	0	0
Elderly Household	4	4	0	19	39	23


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	134.5%	43.0%	118.6%	55.2%
Moderate Income Households	84.1%	26.9%	74.1%	34.5%
Median Income Households	67.3%	21.5%	59.3%	27.6%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 2,635



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 5.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>39</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>11.5%</u>

# Bannock County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	75,565	2000 Households	27,192
2016 Population	83,815	2016 Households	30,424
Population Change	10.9%	Household Change	11.9%
Rank in State	5	% Households 65+	24.8%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	30.8%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	560	755	255	219	235	445
Large Family Household	160	40	65	25	35	210
Elderly Household	124	290	109	180	300	325


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 33,400



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.0%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	1,063
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	17
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	11.0%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	156.8%	55.7%	84.8%	42.3%
Moderate Income Households	98.0%	34.8%	53.0%	26.4%
Median Income Households	78.4%	27.8%	42.4%	21.2%

# Bear Lake County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	6,411	2000 Households	2,259
2016 Population	5,928	2016 Households	2,395
Population Change	-7.5%	Household Change	6.0%
Rank in State	36	% Households 65+	34.9%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	28.1%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	60	14	4	14	14	50
Large Family Household	-	10	4	4	-	4
Elderly Household	4	8	4	28	23	35


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 3,984



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	83
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	15.8%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	179.1%	49.9%	100.3%	41.8%
Moderate Income Households	111.9%	31.2%	62.7%	26.1%
Median Income Households	89.5%	25.0%	50.1%	20.9%




# Benewah County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	9,171	2000 Households	3,580
2016 Population	9,068	2016 Households	3,592
Population Change	-1.1%	Household Change	0.3%
Rank in State	30	% Households 65+	35.6%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	35.1%


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	65	24	39	40	24	80
Large Family Household	4	15	19	20	25	14
Elderly Household	23	43	30	22	85	53

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 5.5%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 78

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 8.3%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	134.3%	48.5%	98.8%	44.2%
Moderate Income Households	83.9%	30.3%	61.7%	27.6%
Median Income Households	67.1%	24.3%	49.4%	22.1%

# Bingham County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	41,735	2000 Households	13,317
2016 Population	45,261	2016 Households	14,712
Population Change	8.4%	Household Change	10.5%
Rank in State	7	% Households 65+	28.3%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	31.6%


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	465	130	20	80	165	200
Large Family Household	75	94	49	30	100	160
Elderly Household	55	80	4	155	99	195

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

54.9%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

80.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.0%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 543

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 14.2%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	134.9%	45.1%	95.1%	42.6%
Moderate Income Households	84.3%	28.2%	59.4%	26.6%
Median Income Households	67.5%	22.6%	47.5%	21.3%

# Blaine County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	18,991	2000 Households	7,780
2016 Population	21,427	2016 Households	8,708
Population Change	12.8%	Household Change	11.9%
Rank in State	17	% Households 65+	28.4%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	14.0%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	59	105	180	105	110	110
Large Family Household	15	-	-	4	105	105
Elderly Household	55	24	35	174	215	210


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%


### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.5%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 212

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 48

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 7.4%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	147.3%	70.2%	99.7%	59.6%
Moderate Income Households	92.1%	43.9%	62.3%	37.2%
Median Income Households	73.7%	35.1%	49.8%	29.8%

# Boise County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	6,670	2000 Households	2,616
2016 Population	6,891	2016 Households	2,994
Population Change	3.3%	Household Change	14.4%
Rank in State	34	% Households 65+	35.5%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	33.7%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	50	15	25	59	30	39
Large Family Household	-	4	-	-	15	4
Elderly Household	12	34	-	30	48	29


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	196.4%	72.3%	115.6%	55.1%
Moderate Income Households	122.7%	45.2%	72.2%	34.4%
Median Income Households	98.2%	36.2%	57.8%	27.6%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 5,356



- % Single Family Detached
- % Single Family Attached
- % Multi-family Attached
- % Mobile Homes/Other

## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	34
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	6.1%

# Bonner County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	36,835	2000 Households	14,693
2016 Population	41,389	2016 Households	17,109
Population Change	12.4%	Household Change	16.4%
Rank in State	8	% Households 65+	36.6%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	31.1%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	200	260	215	300	100	365
Large Family Household	10	15	20	40	20	95
Elderly Household	175	140	125	125	235	350


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 24,570



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.5%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	424
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	82
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	9.2%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	133.7%	44.2%	116.8%	61.1%
Moderate Income Households	83.6%	27.6%	73.0%	38.2%
Median Income Households	66.8%	22.1%	58.4%	30.6%

# Bonneville County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	82,522	2000 Households	28,753
2016 Population	108,989	2016 Households	37,138
Population Change	32.1%	Household Change	29.2%
Rank in State	4	% Households 65+	24.9%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	30.8%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	655	620	530	240	260	780
Large Family Household	85	185	110	150	140	250
Elderly Household	204	250	215	300	385	405


### % Units Affordable to Renters

52.8%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.6%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 40,783



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 1,100

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 10.4%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	143.8%	56.0%	85.6%	41.4%
Moderate Income Households	89.9%	35.0%	53.5%	25.9%
Median Income Households	71.9%	28.0%	42.8%	20.7%

# Boundary County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	9,871	2000 Households	3,707
2016 Population	11,141	2016 Households	4,393
Population Change	12.9%	Household Change	18.5%
Rank in State	26	% Households 65+	34.1%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	34.7%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	110	30	15	20	95	79
Large Family Household	-	25	4	15	4	65
Elderly Household	74	35	4	35	110	39


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	154.5%	40.5%	113.1%	57.8%
Moderate Income Households	96.6%	25.3%	70.7%	36.1%
Median Income Households	77.2%	20.3%	56.5%	28.9%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 5,253



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	120
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	31
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	9.4%

# Butte County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	2,899	2000 Households	1,089
2016 Population	2,592	2016 Households	1,061
Population Change	-10.6%	Household Change	-2.6%
Rank in State	42	% Households 65+	35.7%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	30.8%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	49	-	-	4	4	25
Large Family Household	-	-	-	-	-	14
Elderly Household	4	4	-	14	35	29


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 1,293



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.0%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	75
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	23
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	41.0%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	183.9%	59.5%	112.8%	44.1%
Moderate Income Households	114.9%	37.2%	70.5%	27.5%
Median Income Households	92.0%	29.7%	56.4%	22.0%




# Camas County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	991	2000 Households	396
2016 Population	968	2016 Households	379
Population Change	-2.3%	Household Change	-4.3%
Rank in State	43	% Households 65+	31.7%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	31.9%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	4	-	8	-	-	19
Large Family Household	-	-	4	-	10	-
Elderly Household	-	-	-	-	4	8


### % Units Affordable to Renters

59.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 815



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>7</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>6.5%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	154.8%	50.3%	119.9%	57.2%
Moderate Income Households	96.7%	31.5%	75.0%	35.8%
Median Income Households	77.4%	25.2%	60.0%	28.6%

# Canyon County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	131,441	2000 Households	45,018
2016 Population	202,782	2016 Households	67,155
Population Change	54.3%	Household Change	49.2%
Rank in State	2	% Households 65+	26.4%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	28.8%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	1,665	1,290	710	790	970	2,055
Large Family Household	550	670	355	535	495	555
Elderly Household	480	615	265	700	1,010	955


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.0%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.6%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 71,441



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.6%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	1,768
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	162
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	8.2%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	150.1%	58.0%	99.8%	47.6%
Moderate Income Households	93.8%	36.2%	62.4%	29.8%
Median Income Households	75.1%	29.0%	49.9%	23.8%

# Caribou County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	7,304	2000 Households	2,560
2016 Population	6,813	2016 Households	2,553
Population Change	-6.7%	Household Change	-0.3%
Rank in State	35	% Households 65+	30.9%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	32.3%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	19	-	-	14	25	49
Large Family Household	-	-	-	4	8	10
Elderly Household	15	4	10	29	54	15


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%


### % Units Affordable to Owners

81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>64</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>14.7%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>121.1%</u>	<u>32.3%</u>	<u>90.8%</u>	<u>38.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>75.7%</u>	<u>20.2%</u>	<u>56.7%</u>	<u>24.1%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>60.6%</u>	<u>16.2%</u>	<u>45.4%</u>	<u>19.3%</u>

# Cassia County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	21,416	2000 Households	7,060
2016 Population	23,441	2016 Households	7,717
Population Change	9.5%	Household Change	9.3%
Rank in State	14	% Households 65+	27.7%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	28.3%


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	105	65	90	79	29	140
Large Family Household	75	34	45	25	85	29
Elderly Household	10	105	10	89	95	69

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.5%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>272</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>12.2%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	165.7%	53.3%	94.2%	41.1%
Moderate Income Households	103.6%	33.3%	58.9%	25.7%
Median Income Households	82.9%	26.6%	47.1%	20.5%

# Clark County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	1,022	2000 Households	340
2016 Population	960	2016 Households	287
Population Change	-6.1%	Household Change	-15.6%
Rank in State	44	% Households 65+	29.6%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	32.8%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	-	4	-	-	4	19
Large Family Household	-	-	-	-	-	10
Elderly Household	-	-	-	-	12	4


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 545



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	-
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	-

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	160.6%	47.0%	154.9%	65.9%
Moderate Income Households	100.4%	29.4%	196.8%	41.2%
Median Income Households	80.3%	23.5%	77.4%	33.0%

# Clearwater County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	8,930	2000 Households	3,456
2016 Population	8,528	2016 Households	3,668
Population Change	-4.5%	Household Change	6.1%
Rank in State	31	% Households 65+	39.8%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	46.3%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	25	14	10	25	20	30
Large Family Household	-	-	-	-	-	15
Elderly Household	24	28	25	55	70	85


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 4,457



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 6.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	83
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	9.8%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	117.2%	37.7%	114.3%	56.7%
Moderate Income Households	73.2%	23.5%	71.4%	35.4%
Median Income Households	58.6%	18.8%	57.1%	28.3%

# Custer County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	4,342	2000 Households	1,770
2016 Population	4,185	2016 Households	1,801
Population Change	-3.6%	Household Change	1.8%
Rank in State	39	% Households 65+	40.8%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	34.1%


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	24	4	4	4	20	25
Large Family Household	10	-	-	10	-	-
Elderly Household	19	-	-	40	29	55

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 80

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 21.0%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	149.4%	48.9%	137.4%	68.1%
Moderate Income Households	93.4%	30.5%	85.9%	42.5%
Median Income Households	74.7%	24.4%	68.7%	34.0%

# Elmore County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	29,130	2000 Households	9,092
2016 Population	26,103	2016 Households	9,832
Population Change	-10.4%	Household Change	8.1%
Rank in State	13	% Households 65+	24.0%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	27.2%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	19	90	135	80	70	165
Large Family Household	80	90	75	-	25	45
Elderly Household	-	44	65	149	90	120


### % Units Affordable to Renters

59.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 12,195



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.6%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>257</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>6.2%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>138.8%</u>	<u>54.2%</u>	<u>86.0%</u>	<u>38.9%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>86.8%</u>	<u>33.9%</u>	<u>53.7%</u>	<u>24.3%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>69.4%</u>	<u>27.1%</u>	<u>43.0%</u>	<u>19.4%</u>




# Franklin County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>11,329</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,476</u>
2016 Population	<u>13,013</u>	2016 Households	<u>4,226</u>
Population Change	<u>14.9%</u>	Household Change	<u>21.6%</u>
Rank in State	<u>22</u>	% Households 65+	<u>28.3%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>34.3%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>10</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>85</u>
Large Family Household	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>85</u>
Elderly Household	<u>-</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>54</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 4,664



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.3%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>28</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>3.2%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>154.4%</u>	<u>54.0%</u>	<u>110.1%</u>	<u>51.1%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>96.5%</u>	<u>33.7%</u>	<u>68.8%</u>	<u>31.9%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>77.2%</u>	<u>27.0%</u>	<u>55.0%</u>	<u>25.5%</u>

# Fremont County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>11,819</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,885</u>
2016 Population	<u>12,896</u>	2016 Households	<u>4,466</u>
Population Change	<u>9.1%</u>	Household Change	<u>15.0%</u>
Rank in State	<u>23</u>	% Households 65+	<u>33.1%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>31.1%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>60</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>160</u>
Large Family Household	<u>19</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>45</u>
Elderly Household	<u>4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>65</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

55.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


80.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 8,653



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>65</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>7.6%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>154.4%</u>	<u>41.4%</u>	<u>105.2%</u>	<u>51.3%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>96.5%</u>	<u>25.9%</u>	<u>65.8%</u>	<u>32.0%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>77.2%</u>	<u>20.7%</u>	<u>52.6%</u>	<u>25.6%</u>

# Gem County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>15,181</u>	2000 Households	<u>5,539</u>
2016 Population	<u>16,853</u>	2016 Households	<u>6,287</u>
Population Change	<u>11.0%</u>	Household Change	<u>13.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>19</u>	% Households 65+	<u>39.2%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>34.1%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>90</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>250</u>
Large Family Household	<u>35</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>
Elderly Household	<u>80</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>55</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.7%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 7,100



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>158</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>9.0%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>216.2%</u>	<u>84.8%</u>	<u>119.6%</u>	<u>57.3%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>135.1%</u>	<u>53.0%</u>	<u>74.7%</u>	<u>35.8%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>108.1%</u>	<u>42.4%</u>	<u>59.8%</u>	<u>28.7%</u>

# Gooding County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>14,155</u>	2000 Households	<u>5,046</u>
2016 Population	<u>15,157</u>	2016 Households	<u>5,476</u>
Population Change	<u>7.1%</u>	Household Change	<u>8.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>21</u>	% Households 65+	<u>32.0%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>30.8%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>95</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>160</u>
Large Family Household	<u>4</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>105</u>
Elderly Household	<u>75</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>100</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

59.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 6,063



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.4%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>179</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>9.9%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>147.0%</u>	<u>58.6%</u>	<u>89.8%</u>	<u>33.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>91.9%</u>	<u>36.6%</u>	<u>56.1%</u>	<u>21.0%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>73.5%</u>	<u>29.3%</u>	<u>44.9%</u>	<u>16.8%</u>

# Idaho County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	15,511	2000 Households	6,084
2016 Population	16,251	2016 Households	6,443
Population Change	4.8%	Household Change	5.9%
Rank in State	20	% Households 65+	41.8%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	42.1%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	10	74	25	115	90	135
Large Family Household	4	4	15	14	14	10
Elderly Household	60	95	45	130	114	170


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 8,653



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	142
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	10.6%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	118.9%	38.4%	131.3%	63.0%
Moderate Income Households	74.3%	24.0%	82.1%	39.4%
Median Income Households	59.5%	19.2%	65.7%	31.5%

# Jefferson County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	19,155	2000 Households	5,901
2016 Population	27,096	2016 Households	8,150
Population Change	41.5%	Household Change	38.1%
Rank in State	12	% Households 65+	22.4%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	28.5%


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	130	50	75	54	115	250
Large Family Household	40	44	15	10	105	135
Elderly Household	20	10	25	45	74	58

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

55.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

80.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.5%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	133
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	16
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	8.8%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	117.9%	28.5%	102.2%	48.3%
Moderate Income Households	73.7%	17.8%	63.8%	30.2%
Median Income Households	58.9%	14.2%	51.1%	24.2%

# Jerome County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>18,342</u>	2000 Households	<u>6,298</u>
2016 Population	<u>22,694</u>	2016 Households	<u>7,647</u>
Population Change	<u>23.7%</u>	Household Change	<u>21.4%</u>
Rank in State	<u>16</u>	% Households 65+	<u>26.9%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>28.8%</u>


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>90</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>170</u>
Large Family Household	<u>45</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>44</u>
Elderly Household	<u>4</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>89</u>

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

59.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

77.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 278

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 10.9%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>154.0%</u>	<u>55.4%</u>	<u>102.4%</u>	<u>47.8%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>96.2%</u>	<u>34.7%</u>	<u>64.0%</u>	<u>29.9%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>77.0%</u>	<u>27.7%</u>	<u>51.2%</u>	<u>23.9%</u>

# Kootenai County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>108,685</u>	2000 Households	<u>41,308</u>
2016 Population	<u>147,716</u>	2016 Households	<u>57,213</u>
Population Change	<u>35.9%</u>	Household Change	<u>38.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>3</u>	% Households 65+	<u>29.7%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>27.5%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>790</u>	<u>1,105</u>	<u>730</u>	<u>365</u>	<u>345</u>	<u>1,475</u>
Large Family Household	<u>164</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>320</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>385</u>
Elderly Household	<u>345</u>	<u>710</u>	<u>435</u>	<u>510</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>630</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

49.8%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 66,126



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>1,697</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>122</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>9.8%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>136.0%</u>	<u>59.4%</u>	<u>97.0%</u>	<u>51.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>85.0%</u>	<u>37.1%</u>	<u>60.6%</u>	<u>32.2%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>68.0%</u>	<u>29.7%</u>	<u>48.5%</u>	<u>25.8%</u>




# Latah County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>34,935</u>	2000 Households	<u>13,059</u>
2016 Population	<u>38,593</u>	2016 Households	<u>15,218</u>
Population Change	<u>10.5%</u>	Household Change	<u>16.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>10</u>	% Households 65+	<u>21.4%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>24.0%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>330</u>	<u>205</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>215</u>
Large Family Household	<u>30</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>20</u>
Elderly Household	<u>64</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>120</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 16,331



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>473</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>6.8%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>189.7%</u>	<u>74.8%</u>	<u>78.2%</u>	<u>39.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>118.5%</u>	<u>46.7%</u>	<u>48.9%</u>	<u>24.8%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>94.8%</u>	<u>37.4%</u>	<u>39.1%</u>	<u>19.8%</u>

# Lemhi County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>7,806</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,275</u>
2016 Population	<u>7,743</u>	2016 Households	<u>3,698</u>
Population Change	<u>-0.8%</u>	Household Change	<u>12.9%</u>
Rank in State	<u>32</u>	% Households 65+	<u>38.6%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>35.4%</u>


## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>95</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>25</u>
Large Family Household	<u>-</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>-</u>
Elderly Household	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>95</u>

## Housing Supply




### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 5.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>107</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>9.9%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>179.3%</u>	<u>62.9%</u>	<u>124.0%</u>	<u>62.7%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>112.1%</u>	<u>39.3%</u>	<u>77.5%</u>	<u>39.2%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>89.7%</u>	<u>31.5%</u>	<u>62.0%</u>	<u>31.3%</u>

# Lewis County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>3,747</u>	2000 Households	<u>1,554</u>
2016 Population	<u>3,826</u>	2016 Households	<u>1,624</u>
Population Change	<u>2.1%</u>	Household Change	<u>4.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>41</u>	% Households 65+	<u>41.7%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>39.4%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>19</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>
Large Family Household	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>4</u>
Elderly Household	<u>39</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>22</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>155.6%</u>	<u>54.5%</u>	<u>115.1%</u>	<u>53.2%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>97.2%</u>	<u>34.1%</u>	<u>71.9%</u>	<u>33.2%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>77.8%</u>	<u>27.2%</u>	<u>57.5%</u>	<u>26.6%</u>

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 1,869



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 5.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>89</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>19.3%</u>

# Lincoln County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>4,044</u>	2000 Households	<u>1,447</u>
2016 Population	<u>5,292</u>	2016 Households	<u>1,596</u>
Population Change	<u>30.9%</u>	Household Change	<u>10.3%</u>
Rank in State	<u>37</u>	% Households 65+	<u>28.9%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>31.1%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>4</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>65</u>
Large Family Household	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>24</u>
Elderly Household	<u>8</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>14</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

59.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 1,956



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.2%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>21</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>4.3%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>136.0%</u>	<u>46.7%</u>	<u>111.6%</u>	<u>49.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>85.0%</u>	<u>29.2%</u>	<u>69.7%</u>	<u>31.0%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>68.0%</u>	<u>23.3%</u>	<u>55.8%</u>	<u>24.8%</u>

# Madison County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>27,467</u>	2000 Households	<u>7,129</u>
2016 Population	<u>38,114</u>	2016 Households	<u>10,508</u>
Population Change	<u>38.8%</u>	Household Change	<u>47.4%</u>
Rank in State	<u>11</u>	% Households 65+	<u>15.0%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>17.7%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>930</u>	<u>695</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>160</u>
Large Family Household	<u>50</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>50</u>
Elderly Household	<u>50</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>65</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

55.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


80.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 12,778



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 1.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>327</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>32</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>6.0%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>211.0%</u>	<u>79.7%</u>	<u>77.8%</u>	<u>39.2%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>131.9%</u>	<u>49.8%</u>	<u>48.6%</u>	<u>24.5%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>105.5%</u>	<u>39.8%</u>	<u>38.9%</u>	<u>19.6%</u>

# Minidoka County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>20,174</u>	2000 Households	<u>6,973</u>
2016 Population	<u>20,331</u>	2016 Households	<u>7,261</u>
Population Change	<u>0.8%</u>	Household Change	<u>4.1%</u>
Rank in State	<u>18</u>	% Households 65+	<u>29.9%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>27.3%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>90</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>70</u>
Large Family Household	<u>30</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>35</u>
Elderly Household	<u>30</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>120</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

55.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


80.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 7,776



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>225</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>10.8%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>124.1%</u>	<u>33.9%</u>	<u>98.7%</u>	<u>40.6%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>77.6%</u>	<u>21.2%</u>	<u>61.7%</u>	<u>25.4%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>62.1%</u>	<u>16.9%</u>	<u>49.4%</u>	<u>20.3%</u>

# Nez Perce County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	37,410	2000 Households	15,286
2016 Population	39,995	2016 Households	16,127
Population Change	6.9%	Household Change	5.5%
Rank in State	9	% Households 65+	32.7%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	32.7%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	175	160	215	50	160	210
Large Family Household	4	39	-	-	24	45
Elderly Household	165	189	145	93	170	270


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	139.4%	48.8%	85.0%	42.7%
Moderate Income Households	87.1%	30.5%	53.1%	26.7%
Median Income Households	69.7%	24.4%	42.5%	21.4%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 17,420



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	820
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	-
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	16.5%

# Oneida County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>4,125</u>	2000 Households	<u>1,430</u>
2016 Population	<u>4,269</u>	2016 Households	<u>1,551</u>
Population Change	<u>3.5%</u>	Household Change	<u>8.5%</u>
Rank in State	<u>38</u>	% Households 65+	<u>36.2%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>35.0%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>40</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>35</u>
Large Family Household	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>14</u>
Elderly Household	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>40</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 1,945



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.8%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>43</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>12.8%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>156.4%</u>	<u>46.1%</u>	<u>120.7%</u>	<u>58.0%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>97.8%</u>	<u>28.8%</u>	<u>75.4%</u>	<u>36.3%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>78.2%</u>	<u>23.0%</u>	<u>60.3%</u>	<u>29.0%</u>




# Owyhee County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>10,644</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,710</u>
2016 Population	<u>11,356</u>	2016 Households	<u>4,068</u>
Population Change	<u>6.7%</u>	Household Change	<u>9.6%</u>
Rank in State	<u>25</u>	% Households 65+	<u>30.3%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>34.1%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>90</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>140</u>
Large Family Household	<u>14</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>25</u>
Elderly Household	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>40</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

52.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


76.5%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 4,763



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.0%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>142</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>10.5%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>166.4%</u>	<u>46.8%</u>	<u>129.2%</u>	<u>58.7%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>104.0%</u>	<u>29.3%</u>	<u>80.8%</u>	<u>36.7%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>83.2%</u>	<u>23.4%</u>	<u>64.6%</u>	<u>29.3%</u>

# Payette County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>20,578</u>	2000 Households	<u>7,371</u>
2016 Population	<u>22,773</u>	2016 Households	<u>8,195</u>
Population Change	<u>10.7%</u>	Household Change	<u>11.2%</u>
Rank in State	<u>15</u>	% Households 65+	<u>33.1%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>33.8%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>134</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>160</u>
Large Family Household	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>65</u>
Elderly Household	<u>100</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>109</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.7%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

77.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>152.6%</u>	<u>55.9%</u>	<u>99.7%</u>	<u>48.4%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>95.4%</u>	<u>34.9%</u>	<u>62.3%</u>	<u>30.2%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>76.3%</u>	<u>27.9%</u>	<u>49.9%</u>	<u>24.2%</u>

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 9,058



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>337</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>17.2%</u>

# Power County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>7,538</u>	2000 Households	<u>2,560</u>
2016 Population	<u>7,696</u>	2016 Households	<u>2,465</u>
Population Change	<u>2.1%</u>	Household Change	<u>-3.7%</u>
Rank in State	<u>33</u>	% Households 65+	<u>30.3%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>35.7%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>115</u>
Large Family Household	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>80</u>
Elderly Household	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>8</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.6%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


81.3%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 2,942



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.4%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>140</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>22.0%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>136.6%</u>	<u>46.0%</u>	<u>95.1%</u>	<u>39.3%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>85.4%</u>	<u>28.7%</u>	<u>59.4%</u>	<u>24.5%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>68.3%</u>	<u>23.0%</u>	<u>47.5%</u>	<u>19.6%</u>

# Shoshone County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>13,771</u>	2000 Households	<u>5,906</u>
2016 Population	<u>12,551</u>	2016 Households	<u>5,660</u>
Population Change	<u>-8.9%</u>	Household Change	<u>-4.2%</u>
Rank in State	<u>24</u>	% Households 65+	<u>34.0%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>40.8%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>154</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>95</u>
Large Family Household	<u>-</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>25</u>
Elderly Household	<u>39</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>125</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.1%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.7%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>142.6%</u>	<u>46.4%</u>	<u>108.5%</u>	<u>50.5%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>89.1%</u>	<u>29.0%</u>	<u>67.8%</u>	<u>31.6%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>71.3%</u>	<u>23.2%</u>	<u>54.2%</u>	<u>25.2%</u>

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 6,991



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 6.3%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>218</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>12.3%</u>

# Teton County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	5,999	2000 Households	2,078
2016 Population	10,437	2016 Households	3,725
Population Change	74.0%	Household Change	79.3%
Rank in State	27	% Households 65+	15.2%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	19.2%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	50	60	4	14	50	119
Large Family Household	30	4	10	10	10	-
Elderly Household	-	20	15	80	14	4


### % Units Affordable to Renters

55.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


80.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 5,512



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	<u>24</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>2.3%</u>

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	128.5%	43.2%	93.4%	46.2%
Moderate Income Households	80.3%	27.0%	58.3%	28.9%
Median Income Households	64.2%	21.6%	46.7%	23.1%

# Twin Falls County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	64,284	2000 Households	23,853
2016 Population	80,955	2016 Households	29,200
Population Change	25.9%	Household Change	22.4%
Rank in State	6	% Households 65+	27.9%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	27.3%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	540	570	300	185	430	475
Large Family Household	125	75	60	35	39	210
Elderly Household	110	230	395	370	420	325


### % Units Affordable to Renters

53.9%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


80.0%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 31,792



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.9%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory	1,100
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	118
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	11.4%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income


	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	154.3%	57.4%	95.7%	45.1%
Moderate Income Households	96.4%	35.9%	59.8%	28.2%
Median Income Households	77.2%	28.7%	47.9%	22.6%

# Valley County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>7,651</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,208</u>
2016 Population	<u>9,897</u>	2016 Households	<u>3,446</u>
Population Change	<u>29.4%</u>	Household Change	<u>7.4%</u>
Rank in State	<u>29</u>	% Households 65+	<u>37.1%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>31.8%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>30</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>65</u>
Large Family Household	<u>10</u>	-	-	-	<u>15</u>	-
Elderly Household	<u>25</u>	-	-	<u>39</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>70</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

57.5%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

76.9%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>159.7%</u>	<u>54.8%</u>	<u>96.4%</u>	<u>45.0%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>99.8%</u>	<u>34.2%</u>	<u>60.3%</u>	<u>28.1%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>79.8%</u>	<u>27.4%</u>	<u>48.2%</u>	<u>22.5%</u>

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 11,920



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.4%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	<u>89</u>
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	<u>-</u>
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	<u>11.1%</u>

# Washington County

## Population and Households

2000 Population	<u>9,977</u>	2000 Households	<u>3,762</u>
2016 Population	<u>10,035</u>	2016 Households	<u>3,886</u>
Population Change	<u>0.6%</u>	Household Change	<u>3.3%</u>
Rank in State	<u>28</u>	% Households 65+	<u>41.7%</u>
		% Households with a Disabled Member	<u>34.6%</u>

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	<u>35</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>30</u>
Large Family Household	<u>-</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>55</u>
Elderly Household	<u>100</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>134</u>


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.7%

### % Units Affordable to Owners


77.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households



## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 4,543



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 4.7%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 167

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 -

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 16.0%

## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	<u>186.6%</u>	<u>73.6%</u>	<u>111.0%</u>	<u>53.3%</u>
Moderate Income Households	<u>116.6%</u>	<u>46.0%</u>	<u>69.4%</u>	<u>33.3%</u>
Median Income Households	<u>93.3%</u>	<u>36.8%</u>	<u>55.5%</u>	<u>26.7%</u>




# Boise City - Nampa Metropolitan Statistical Area

## Population and Households

2000 Population	464,840	2000 Households	170,291
2016 Population	663,680	2016 Households	240,902
Population Change	42.8%	Household Change	41.5%
Rank in State	-	% Households 65+	24.8%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	23.3%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	4,740	3,990	2,875	2,019	2,645	4,779
Large Family Household	1,009	1,154	874	700	1,060	1,548
Elderly Household	1,597	2,087	1,189	2,124	2,617	2,973


### % Units Affordable to Renters

50.9%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

78.5%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	145.1%	62.2%	86.0%	44.1%
Moderate Income Households	90.7%	38.8%	53.7%	27.5%
Median Income Households	72.6%	31.1%	43.0%	22.0%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 256,399



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 3.1%

## Assisted Housing Inventory


Total Inventory	5,360
Expiring between 2018 - 2023	711
Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory	6.9%

# Idaho Falls Metropolitan Statistical Area

## Population and Households

2000 Population	104,576	2000 Households	35,743
2016 Population	138,677	2016 Households	46,349
Population Change	32.6%	Household Change	29.7%
Rank in State	-	% Households 65+	24.7%
		% Households with a Disabled Member	30.4%

## Age Cohorts



## Housing Affordability

	Cost-burdened Renters			Cost-burdened Homeowners		
	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI	0% - 30% HAMFI	30% - 50% HAMFI	50% - 80% HAMFI
Small Family Household	834	670	605	298	379	1,055
Large Family Household	125	229	125	160	245	399
Elderly Household	228	264	240	359	494	492


### % Units Affordable to Renters

53.3%

### % Units Affordable to Owners

81.2%

### Housing Gap of Affordable and Available Units per 100 Households




## Housing and Transportation Costs as % of Income

	Renters		Owners	
	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only	Housing & Transportation	Housing Only
Very Low Income Households	138.8%	50.5%	88.8%	42.5%
Moderate Income Households	86.7%	31.6%	55.5%	26.6%
Median Income Households	69.4%	25.3%	44.4%	21.3%

## Housing Supply

### Total Housing Units: 50,971



## Employment

2017 Unemployment Rate 2.6%

## Assisted Housing Inventory

Total Inventory 1,308

Expiring between 2018 - 2023 39

Assisted Housing Units as % of Total Rental Inventory 10.6%

## **Notice of Public Workshop, Public Comment Period, and Public Hearing On the City's CDBG Annual Action Plan**

The City of Coeur d'Alene has drafted an annual action plan for the use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the plan year 2019. The City is receiving CDBG funds in the estimated amount of \$300,000 for the plan year beginning April 1, 2019. The draft Annual Action Plan will be available for review and comment for a period of at least 30 days, beginning January 4, 2019.

A public workshop will be held to obtain community input on the Annual Action Plan for PY2019 on December 20, 2018 at 12:00p.m. at City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Ave., Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814.

The public hearing to consider any and all public comments will be held in the Library Community Room, 702 E. Front Ave., Coeur d'Alene, Idaho at a regular meeting of the City Council on February 5, 2019, at 6:00 p.m.

The draft Annual Action Plan for PY2019 will be available for review and comment for a period of 30 days from Jan 4, 2019 to February 5, 2019. It will be available on the City's website at [www.cdavid.org/cdbg](http://www.cdavid.org/cdbg) or in person at City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814.

The workshop and hearing will be held in facilities that are accessible to persons with disabilities. Special accommodations will be available, upon request; requests to be made five (5) days prior to the workshop or hearing. For more information, contact Michelle Cushing at (208) 769-2382.

Verbal and written comments will be accepted beginning January 4, 2019 through February 5, 2019. Written comments should be submitted to Michelle Cushing, 208-769-2382, City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814.

Michelle Cushing, CDBG Grant Administrator

Publish: December 6, 2018 and January 4, 2018

**2019 ANNUAL ACTION  
PLAN  
COMMUNITY FORUM**

Presented to  
the City of  
Coeur d'Alene  
residents at  
COity Hall:  
Dec. 20, 2018

# THE PLAN

- Brief History of Coeur d'Alene CDBG involvement and HUD Terminology
- Past Year Spending Goals
- To-Date Funding Breakdown of past 5 year budget
- Eligible Activity Breakdown
- Citizen Survey of Funding Priorities for 2018
- Group Discussion of Suggested Project Ideas

# WHAT CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IS INVOLVED IN AN ANNUAL ACTION PLAN?

- Annual Action Plan Citizen Participation
  - Public Meeting
  - Stakeholder Meetings and Interviews
  - Draft Plan will be posted Jan. 5, 2019, with a 30 day public comment period culminating at a Public Hearing for approval of draft plan on February 4, 2019. Public comments will be accepted at the Public Hearing.

# CDBG KEY TERMS


- **LMI: Low-to-Moderate Income.** Households whose total earnings are based on 30%, 50%, and 80% of the yearly area median income.
  - **HUD's 2017 Median Income determination for Kootenai County:\$59,200.**
- **Limited Clientele:** A specific targeted group of persons of which at least 51 percent must be presumed to be LMI, ie. Abused children, battered spouses, elderly persons, severely disabled adults, homeless persons
- **LMA: Low-to-Moderate Area,** Census tract/blocks which comprise of 51% of its residents falling below the 80% median income determination

# 2018-2022 FUNDING GOALS

- **Goal 1:** Increase the supply of for-sale housing at prices affordable to the City's low and moderate income workers.
- **Goal 2:** Increase the supply of rental housing affordable to the City's extremely low-income renters and residents with special needs, including persons who are homeless.
- **Goal 3:** Improve the City's sidewalks to make them more accessible to persons with disabilities.
- **Goal 4:** Continue with neighborhood revitalization efforts to improve the condition of housing in low income areas.
- **Goal 5:** Expand higher-paying employment opportunities for the residents of Coeur d'Alene through economic development.
- **Goal 6:** Offer Public Service Program assistance to service organizations supporting low and moderate income residents of Coeur d'Alene.



# 5 YEAR SPENDING OVERVIEW FROM 2013-2018: TOTAL GRANT ALLOCATION=\$1,496,245. HOW HAS IT BEEN SPENT SO FAR?



# CDBG: TWO SIDES OF A COIN

## WHAT CAN CDBG FUND?

- ✓ Eligible CDBG uses must meet both sides of the coin test



Heads!

### National Objectives:

Approved activities must meet one of the three National Objectives, then it must be an **allowable/eligible activity** that meets the **goals** in the Consolidated Plan.



Tails!

### Eligible Activities:

Activities related to **housing** (but not new construction); **Real property** activities; public facilities (but not equipment); **public service** activities; **economic development**; community based development organizations (**CBDO's** can do new construction); **Planning** and **Administration**

# GUIDELINE: NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

- Benefit to Low and moderate income (LMI) persons, or
- Aid in the prevention of slum and blight, or
- Meet an urgent need

# ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

- **Acquisition/Construction Projects**

- This can include: construction of public facilities and improvements, such as water and sewer facilities, streets, neighborhood centers, and the conversion of school buildings for eligible purposes; Acquisition of real property; Relocation and demolition; Rehabilitation of residential and non-residential structures

- **Public Service Funding**

- This can include: employment services, crime prevention and public safety, child care, health services, substance abuse services, fair housing counseling, education programs, energy conservation, services for senior citizens, and services for homeless persons;
  - \*Public service uses not to exceed 15% of the yearly budget

- **Economic Development Projects**

- These can be activities that create or support low-to-moderate income employment opportunities

# SELECTING ACTIVITIES EACH YEAR

- Must meet the two sided coin test
- Project must align with goals in Annual Action Plan and Consolidated Plan
- Consider capacity of staffing, size of community and amount of allocation, local politics, capacity of sub-recipient organizations

# MAJOR INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

CDBG funds cannot be used to:

- Build a City Hall or pay for general operations of a City
- Pay for a Statue of a Mayor/public official
- Fund Political or Religious Activities

**WE WANT TO HEAR  
FROM YOU!**

Haven't taken  
our survey  
yet? Please  
do! Find it at  
[www.cdaid.org  
/cdbg](http://www.cdaid.org/cdbg)

## Order Confirmation

**Ad Order Number**

0000251020

**Customer**

COEUR D'ALENE, CITY OF

**Payor Customer**

COEUR D'ALENE, CITY OF

**PO Number****Sales Rep.**

cdahouse

**Customer Account**

9491

**Payor Account**

9491

**Ordered By**

BB

**Order Taker**

bbeer

**Customer Address**710 E MULLAN AVE  
COEUR D ALENE ID 83814 USA**Payor Address**710 E MULLAN AVE  
COEUR D ALENE ID 83814 USA**Customer Fax**

0000000000

**Order Source****Customer Phone**

2087692231

**Payor Phone**

2087692231

**Customer EMail**

cimthurn@cdaid.org

**Special Pricing****Tear Sheets**

0

**Proofs**

0

**Affidavits**

0

**Blind Box****Promo Type****Materials****Invoice Text**

CDA LEGAL 789 NOPH 2019 AAP &amp; PUBLIC FORUM TO PRESS DECEMBER 2018

**Ad Order Notes**

RUN DATES: DECEMBER 6, 2018 JANUARY 4, 2019

**Net Amount**

\$115.20

**Tax Amount**

\$0.00

**Total Amount**

\$115.20

**Payment Method**

Invoice

**Payment Amount**

\$0.00

**Amount Due**

\$115.20



**Ad Number**

0000251020-01

**Ad Type**

ID Legal

**Production Method**

AdBooker

**Production Notes**

**External Ad Number**

**Ad Attributes**

**Ad Released**

No

**Pick Up**

---

**Ad Size**

1 X 75 li

**Color**

---

**WYSIWYG Content**

**Notice of Public Workshop,  
Public Comment Period, and  
Public Hearing On the City's  
CDBG Annual Action Plan**

The City of Coeur d'Alene has drafted an annual action plan for the use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the plan year 2019. The City is receiving CDBG funds in the estimated amount of \$300,000 for the plan year beginning April 1, 2019. The draft Annual Action Plan will be available for review and comment for a period of at least 30 days, beginning January 4, 2019.

A public workshop will be held to obtain community input on the Annual Action Plan for PY2019 on December 20, 2018 at 12:00p.m. at City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Ave., Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814.

The public hearing to consider any and all public comments will be held in the Library Community Room, 702 E. Front Ave., Coeur d'Alene, Idaho at a regular meeting of the City Council on February 5, 2019, at 6:00 p.m.

The draft Annual Action Plan for PY2019 will be available for review and comment for a period of 30 days from Jan 4, 2019 to February 5, 2019. It will be available on the City's website at [www.cdaid.org/cdbg](http://www.cdaid.org/cdbg) or in person at City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814.

The workshop and hearing will be held in facilities that are accessible to persons with disabilities. Special accommodations will be available, upon request; requests to be made five (5) days prior to the workshop or hearing. For more information, contact Michelle Cushing at (208) 769-2382.

Verbal and written comments will be accepted beginning January 4, 2019 through February 5, 2019. Written comments should be submitted to Michelle Cushing, 208-769-2382, City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814.

Michelle Cushing, CDBG  
Grant Administrator  
CDA LEGAL 789

AD# 251020  
DECEMBER 6, 2018  
JANUARY 1, 2019

<u>Run Date</u>	<u>Product</u>	<u>Placement</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Sched Cst</u>	<u>Disc/Prem</u>	<u>Color</u>	<u>Pickup</u>	<u>Tax</u>	<u>Subtotal</u>
12/06/2018	ID CDA Press	Legals	\$7.78 per Inch	\$64.80	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$64.80
12/06/2018	ID CDA ONL-Top Ads	Legals	\$0.00 per Inch	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
01/04/2019	ID CDA ONL-Top Ads	Legals	\$0.00 per Inch	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
01/04/2019	ID CDA Press	Legals	\$6.05 per Inch	\$50.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$50.40

**From:** Lisa Stevens  
**To:** [CUSHING, MICHELLE](#)  
**Cc:** [Laurie Taylor](#); [Erik Kingston](#)  
**Subject:** FW: data information  
**Date:** Thursday, December 13, 2018 7:48:21 AM

---

Good morning, Michelle

Here is some information on the Housing Choice Voucher rental assistance program administered by the IHFA branch office in Coeur d'Alene. The first set of numbers is the number of assisted households leased in the City of Coeur d'Alene during the calendar year and reflects some turnover in families going off and coming on the program and the amount of housing assistance paid this calendar year. The next set of numbers is the amount in the region served by the branch office (region 1). I hope this is helpful.

**Lisa Stevens** | Sr Manager, Rental Assistance Programs  
Idaho Housing and Finance Association  
P.O. Box 7899, Boise, ID 83707-1899  
Phone 208-331-4740 | [LisaS@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LisaS@IHFA.ORG)  
[www.idahohousing.com](http://www.idahohousing.com)

---

**From:** Mark Heindel  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 12, 2018 4:32 PM  
**To:** Lisa Stevens <[LisaS@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LisaS@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Laurie Taylor <[LaurieT@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LaurieT@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Subject:** RE: data information

Hi Lisa –

Here is your information:

Vouchers currently leased in the city of CDA	503
Amount of HAP paid in 2018 in CDA (current year)	\$2,361,752
CDA Region HAP paid in 2018 (current year)	\$4,604,207
Number of Families assisted in CDA Region (current year)	1,039

Thanks,

**Mark Heindel** | Senior Application Developer/Analyst  
Idaho Housing and Finance Association  
P.O. Box 7899, Boise, ID 83707-1899  
Phone 208-331-4794 | [MarkH@IHFA.ORG](mailto:MarkH@IHFA.ORG)  
[www.idahohousing.com](http://www.idahohousing.com)

---

**From:** Lisa Stevens <[LisaS@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LisaS@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 12, 2018 8:28 AM  
**To:** Mark Heindel <[MarkH@IHFA.ORG](mailto:MarkH@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Laurie Taylor <[LaurieT@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LaurieT@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: data information

Mark,

I know you are probably very busy today, but could you pull the number of vouchers currently leased in Coeur d'Alene (city) and the amount of funding we've spent CY to date in CdA. Also the amount spent in CdA Region and # of families assisted CY to date in that region. Or tell us if it's easy to pull or if Craig can. Thanks.

**Lisa Stevens** | Sr Manager, Rental Assistance Programs  
 Idaho Housing and Finance Association  
 P.O. Box 7899, Boise, ID 83707-1899  
 Phone 208-331-4740 | [LisaS@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LisaS@IHFA.ORG)  
[www.idahohousing.com](http://www.idahohousing.com)

**From:** Erik Kingston  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 11, 2018 6:04 PM  
**To:** CUSHING, MICHELLE <[MCUSHING@cdaid.org](mailto:MCUSHING@cdaid.org)>  
**Cc:** Laurie Taylor <[LaurieT@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LaurieT@IHFA.ORG)>; Lisa Stevens <[LisaS@IHFA.ORG](mailto:LisaS@IHFA.ORG)>  
**Subject:** RE: data information

Laurie/Lisa:

I have aggregate numbers for the state, but not the regional \$\$ breakdown. Can one of you help Michelle out?

Michelle:

Below are some related numbers by region you might find useful for comparative purposes. The aim of this table is to show all 'units of housing assistance' combined.

Region	PBCA/ Section 8	LIPH	USDA RD	Subtotal (Other)	TC/HOME	Region Housing Subtotal	Vouchers	Region Total
1	242	0	691	933	3,114	4,047	898	4,945
2	431	0	257	688	773	1461	752	2,213
3	1,079	354	1,404	2,837	5,080	7,917	2,973	10,890
4	334	286	814	1434	1,446	2,880	804	3,684
5	330	115	504	949	478	1427	1,187	2,614
6	207	0	455	662	1,376	2,038	742	2,780
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,623</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>4,125</b>	<b>7,503</b>	<b>12,267</b>	<b>19,770</b>	<b>7,356</b>	<b>27,126</b>

IHFA recently commissioned an update to our County Level Demographic and Housing Data Sheets, which you also might find interesting.

<https://www.idahohousing.com/documents/2018-idaho-county-level-housing-demographic-data.pdf>

Good luck!

**Erik Kingston, PCED** | Housing Resources Coordinator  
 Idaho Housing and Finance Association  
 PO Box 7899, Boise, ID 83707-1899  
 208.331.4706 | [erikk@ihfa.org](mailto:erikk@ihfa.org)

Find or list Idaho rentals at [housingidaho.com](http://housingidaho.com)

---

**From:** CUSHING, MICHELLE <[MCUSHING@cdaid.org](mailto:MCUSHING@cdaid.org)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 11, 2018 1:42 PM

**To:** Erik Kingston <[FrikK@IHFA.ORG](mailto:FrikK@IHFA.ORG)>

**Subject:** data information

Hi Erik,

I hope you are doing well. I'm currently updating the City of Coeur d'Alene's CDBG Annual Action Plan and am in need of updated data for the following points. Are you able to talk sometime about these numbers or point me in the direction to updated data sources? I know I've reached out to you before. I've looked on the IHFA website and on the HUD Exchange but don't see data points for this program. Do you have a data specialist in your office that might be a good contact for me?

Data that I need to collect:

- 1) Amount of funding for Section 8 Vouchers for the City of Coeur d Alene
- 2) Amount of funding for Section 8 Vouchers for Region 1 in Idaho

Thank you!

Michelle Cushing  
CDBG Grant Administrator  
[mcushing@cdaid.org](mailto:mcushing@cdaid.org)  
208-769-2382

City of Coeur d'Alene  
710 E. Mullan Ave.  
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814

## **Heads Up!**

### **December 17<sup>th</sup>, 2018**

From Michelle Cushing, CDBG: Updates regarding the City's CDBG funded 2018 Community Opportunity Grant and 2019 Annual Action Plan

- 1) The 2<sup>nd</sup> Round of Community Opportunity Grants for 2018 closes today at 5pm. All applications are due at City Hall in hardcopy and may be submitted to Carrie at reception. The next step in this process is a review of eligible applications by the City's Ad Hoc Grant Review Committee. Notice will be sent out regarding eligible applications accepted. Stay tuned!
- 2) The CDBG 2019 Annual Action Planning Progress is under way and citizen input is being accepted to guide 2019 anticipated project funding. Please take [this short survey](#) to share your thoughts on community needs!
- 3) The City will be holding a Community Forum on December 20th at City Hall to collect input for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2019 Annual Action Plan. The Community Forum will provide information on past CDBG projects, current goals, and future spending priorities. You are invited to share your thoughts and help determine area and resident needs. It is the City's mission to engage with the community in a meaningful way and target the City's highest needs with its CDBG funds. Public input on the 2019 Annual Action Plan is important in shaping 2019 CDBG projects.

#### **2019 Annual Action Plan Community Forum:**

**Meeting:** 2019 Annual Action Plan Community Forum

**Date:** December 20, 2018

**Location:** City Hall, 710 E. Mullan Ave. Coeur d'Alene, 83814

**Room:** See reception downstairs for room details

**Time:** 12:00-1:00pm, Feel free to bring your lunch!

Can't attend? Please share your input in this [5 minute survey](#).

Have questions on any of these CDBG items? Feel free to contact me. Thank you!

Michelle Cushing  
CDBG Grant Administrator  
mcushing@cdaid.org  
208-769-2382



NORTH IDAHO

# Community Health Improvement Plan


PANHANDLE HEALTH DISTRICT

2018



**Public Health**  
Prevent. Promote. Protect.

**Panhandle Health District**





Contents

**Acknowledgement**..... 3

**Executive Summary** ..... 4

**Message From the Director** ..... 5

**North Idaho’s Vision**..... 6

**About Panhandle Health District** ..... 7

    Community Health Improvement Plan Background ..... 8

**Priority Issues** ..... 9

**First Steps**..... 9

**Issues Identified**..... 9

**Top Priorities** ..... 9

**Methods** ..... 10

**Access** ..... 12

**Substance Abuse**..... 16

**Mental Health** ..... 20

    ..... 22

**SUMMARY** ..... 23

**References** ..... 24

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Community Health Improvement plan could not have been made possible without the support and leadership from many organizations across northern Idaho. A sincere thank you to all who participated throughout the Community Health Improvement Planning process.

## SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT WAS PROVIDED BY:

The Northwest Hospital Alliance

Regional Behavioral Health Board

University of Idaho

## COMMUNITY HEALTH ASSESSEMENT PARTNERS:

BONNER GENERAL HEALTH	NATIONAL ALLIANCE ON MENTAL ILLNESS
BOUNDARY COMMUNITY HOSPITAL	(CDA)
CANVAS CHURCH	NORTH IDAHO COLLEGE HEAD START
EMERGE	NORTHWEST HOSPITAL ALLIANCE
FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY PROGRAM	SANDPOINT COMMUNITY RESOURCE
HERITAGE HEALTH	CENTER
KANIKSU HEALTH SERVICES	SHOSHONE MEDICAL CENTER
KOOTENAI BEHAVIORAL HEALTH	ST. VINCENT DE PAUL
KOOTENAI HEALTH	SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS PROGRAM
KOOTENAI RECOVERY CENTER	TRINITY GROUP HOMES
MARIMN HEALTH	UNITED WAY
MOUNTAIN STATES EARLY HEAD START	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) is a collaborative approach to assessing, developing, and implementing health improvement projects. Panhandle Health District utilized the Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) model. MAPP is comprised of two distinct areas: first, the comprehensive Community Health Assessment (CHA) which examined all five counties through four different assessments; and, second, the CHIP compiled strategies from the key health priorities identified in the CHA. The CHIP is an action-oriented plan for community partners to work towards over the next five years.

This document outlines the North Idaho Community Health Improvement Plan that was developed by community partners and Panhandle Health District over a series of meetings. No single organization has the depth of resources to move the needle forward to improve community health. The plan is the product of the community health assessment and community partners coming together to collectively impact community health.

The CHIP is a living document and will be reviewed and updated regularly to maximize the success of the plan, and to ensure the community partners and Panhandle Health District continue to raise the bar on success.

For the most up to date Community Health Improvement Plan, please visit [www.panhandlehealthdistrict.org/community-health-assessment-and-plan](http://www.panhandlehealthdistrict.org/community-health-assessment-and-plan)

---

## MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTOR

I am excited to present the 2018 North Idaho Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP). The CHIP is the result of a community-driven planning process led by the Panhandle Health District, in partnership with many organizations across North Idaho.

The CHIP is an action-oriented document meant to stimulate community action in areas where we can be impactful on improving the health of all North Idaho residents. The health priorities and supporting information contained in our Community Health Assessment (CHA) provided the framework and guidance needed for the next steps in development of a CHIP and associated strategies with measurable outcomes connected with each of our health priorities.

Partnerships are critical for the success of this plan. It is these partnerships that generate opportunities for health improvements by creating policies and environments that support and encourage our community in making healthy choices. Our goal is to make North Idaho a healthier community and to collaboratively tackle the persistent problems of healthcare access, substance abuse and mental health. This plan informs the community about the health of our residents, but also serves as a guide for the health district, hospitals, clinics, community partners and residents in our program development, activities and use of resources to improve community health status over the next five years.

Special emphasis was given to support and build on existing efforts and leverage community assets and partnerships. Goals and strategies were aligned with evidence-based practices and subject matter experts to ensure significant community health impact. An ongoing action cycle will be regularly updated and evaluated for further progress.

We look forward to the work with our community and State partners as we move forward in making our communities a healthy place to live, work and play. Thank you for your interest in the Health of North Idaho!




Lora Whalen, Director

Panhandle Health District

NORTH IDAHO'S VISION

***North Idaho is a community with access to quality health care, education, and opportunities that empower its citizens to live healthy lifestyles.***



**ABOUT PANHANDLE HEALTH DISTRICT**


Panhandle Health District provides over 40 different public health programs to families, individuals and organizations in northern Idaho. From food and drinking water safety to health education and disease control, public health services are critical to ensure our community is a safe and healthy place to live, work and play.

The PHD team is made up of nurse practitioners, nurses, nutritionists, social workers, environmental health specialists, health educators and many other dedicated professionals with a common goal to deliver vital public health services.

Panhandle Health District is one of seven health districts in the state of Idaho. In 1970, the Idaho Legislature recognized the value a formal public health structure would provide Idaho residents. That year, the Legislature created the health districts to ensure that all Idahoans have access to local public health services (See Idaho Code, Title 39, Chapter 4). Panhandle Health District is locally controlled and governed. County commissioners from Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai, Benewah and Shoshone counties appoint a Board of Health that governs the Health District’s policies based on the community’s unique needs.

Panhandle Health District supports its programs with funding from the state, counties it serves, fees, grants and contracts with federal and state agencies.

Panhandle Health District is home to two tribes, the Kootenai Tribe and the Coeur d’Alene Tribe. The majority of our counties are considered rural and one is considered midsize. According to the 2016 census the five northern counties are home to 230,072 people.



---

## COMMUNITY HEALTH IMPROVEMENT PLAN BACKGROUND

In 2017, Panhandle Health District began the process of conducting a Community Health Assessment (CHA) that utilized the Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) model. MAPP is a community-wide strategic process for improving public health created by the National Association of County & City Health Officials (NACCHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Following the six phases of the MAPP process, Panhandle Health District engaged stakeholders and community members in each of the five counties through the complete process of the Community Health Assessment. The core of the MAPP process is in the four assessments.

- **Community Themes and Strengths Assessment (CTSA)** Provides a deeper understanding of issues important to community residents.
- **Community Health Status Assessment (CHSA)** Analyzes secondary health data to identify trends and provide a snapshot of health.
- **Forces of Change Assessment (FCA)** Identifies trends, factors and events that influence health, quality of life and the local public health system.
- **Local Public Health System Assessment (LPHS)** Identifies strengths and weaknesses of the local public health system.

These four assessments were conducted concurrently to identify common themes and opportunities for improvement within our health system. The results of the Community Health Assessment were used to inform and guide the last two phases of the MAPP process which is formulate goals and strategies and move into action and implementation.

## PRIORITY ISSUES

### First Steps

The Community Health Assessment (CHA) had four different assessments that examined both qualitative and quantitative data. The assessment took both primary and secondary data, key informant interviews and tools that measured the local public health system. Once the assessment was completed, the results were reviewed and examined for themes. The core team at Panhandle Health District reviewed the results of the four assessments and used the following criteria to develop a list of the top 13 health priorities.

- **Seriousness:** How many people are affected by this?
- **Trends:** Is the issue getting better or worse?
- **Equity:** Are some groups more impacted?
- **Interventions:** Is there a proven strategy?
- **Values:** Does the community care about it?
- **Resources:** Is there a network to build on?
- **Other:** Is the issue a social determinant of health? Root cause?

### Issues Identified

1. Mental Health/suicide
2. Obesity
3. Housing
4. Cancer
5. Food Security
6. Diabetes
7. Tobacco
8. Employment inequity
9. Substance abuse
10. Access to care
11. Sexually transmitted disease
12. Heart disease
13. Resources for aging population

### Top Priorities


The community partners and stakeholders were convened and provided the background and results of the assessment. Community partners were asked to vote on the top health priorities using the criteria listed above. There were two rounds scoring the health priorities. After the first round, scores were calculated, and the top 6 health priorities were discussed. After discussing each of the top 6 health priorities, the group re-voted to develop the top 3 priorities:


1. Access to care
2. Mental Health/Suicide
3. Substance Abuse Prevention (including opioid)



**METHODS**


Once prioritization of the top health priorities was established, the Community Health Improvement Planning group convened several meetings to conduct a root cause analysis that allowed community partners to identify upstream, social determinant causes of the health priorities selected, and establish more focused areas to work on. Once the goals and focus areas were established, the group brainstormed objectives to meet the goals. Finally, lead partners were selected and timelines for the action plans were set.





# Priority Issue

## ACCESS



## ACCESS

### BACKGROUND:


Access to care is an important component for individuals to achieve their best health outcomes. Barriers to accessing care can be attributed to individuals' insurance status, income, geographic proximity to providers, and finding a trusted provider. North Idaho faces many challenges with increased health care costs, the Idaho Medicaid gap, and the rural/frontier nature of the region.

The high cost of health care can limit individuals' ability to seek care and preventive services. The community themes and strengths assessment identified the high cost of health care as a top health challenge; especially for those whose income was \$10,000-25,000. One reason this demographic feels most impacted by the cost of health care is because Idaho is one of the few states that did not expand Medicaid, and many people within this income bracket do not qualify for health insurance cost sharing subsidies.

According to the 2016 Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System, 45.8% of adults in Panhandle Health District do not have dental insurance (Health, 2016). The community themes and strengths assessment found that 12.83% of the people surveyed did not have health insurance. According to the 2015 Idaho BRFSS, 11.4% of Panhandle Health District residents are uninsured and 14.1% of Idaho residents do not have health insurance (Statistics, 2015). North Idaho and Idaho have higher uninsured rates than the nation. According to the CDC's *Health Insurance Coverage: Early release of Estimates from the National Health Survey Interview, 2016* report only 9% of Americans are uninsured (CDC, 2017).

The map to the right displays the proportion of respondents who did not have health insurance by zip code. The darker the color the greater proportion of respondents that did not have health insurance.

Proportion of respondents who did not have health insurance



In addition to the challenges of getting people insured, northern Idaho has many counties who qualify as rural and one that even qualifies as frontier. The ruralness of the states makes it challenging for individuals and families to have easy, quick access for care. Often there is significant travel involved. This can add an additional barrier for those on a limited income.



In a Primary Care Needs Assessment conducted by the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, it found that much of Idaho is a primary care, dental, and mental health care shortage area. According to this report, Benewah and Shoshone exhibited the worst health status among the 44 other counties in the state.

Finally, linking individuals to care and resources is a challenge for all counties. In the Local Public Health System assessment, three of the five counties scored themselves below 50% for linking people to needed person health services and assuring the provisions of health care when otherwise unavailable. Two of the counties scored themselves just at or slightly above 50%.

#### ASSETS:

North Idaho is fortunate to have assets in the community like Kootenai Health in Kootenai County and four critical access hospitals in Benewah, Shoshone, Bonner and Boundary, as well as, several Federally Qualified Health Centers. These clinics and hospitals are all working to increase access to care through expansion of services, efforts in rural areas, and patient-centered medical home (PCMH) transformation.

#### ALIGNMENT WITH STATE AND NATIONAL PRIORITIES:

Access, as a health priority area for the Community Health Improvement Plan, aligns with the Department of Health and Welfare's "Get Healthy: Measuring and Improving Population Health" priority area to increase access to healthcare services in Idaho.

Nationally, improving access to care aligns with the Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion's, Healthy People's 2020 vision of increasing the number of people who are insured and have equitable access to seeking prevention and care to 100% of the population. This priority also aligns with the Health Resources and Services Administration, who have set a goal to "improve access to quality health care and services."

## ACCESS

How do we effectively increase equitable access to care for people in North Idaho?

### GOALS

- Increase the number of mental health, dental and primary care providers to meet the needs of north Idaho residents
- Reduce barriers to access to care
- Increase awareness of all available health resources

### STRATEGIES

- To attract more providers to north Idaho
- Retain providers and ensure efficient use of current providers and services
- Increase efficient use of care services
- Increase equitable opportunities for access to care
- Decrease the number of north Idahoan's who are uninsured
- Increase literature, training and marketing of health care resources available and how to navigate them
- Improve interagency communications



## Priority Issue

# SUBSTANCE ABUSE



**SUBSTANCE ABUSE**


**BACKGROUND:**

Substance abuse refers to long-term use of alcohol or drugs that has a negative impact on behaviors and health outcomes. Substance abuse impacts individuals, families and the community. According to the Idaho Substance Abuse Prevention Needs Assessment, there are several contributing factors such as population density, and economic vitality that influence and impact substance abuse in communities (Policy, 2017). The types of drug use differ from rural and urban settings, and changes in population can also leave an economy struggling. Depressed economies, with lower educational attainment and income, may have fewer opportunities for engaging in healthy behaviors and accessing health services.

Although all counties in north Idaho are suffering from the consequences of substance abuse, Shoshone and Benewah counties had the highest proportion of respondents who said drug abuse was a significant health problem in their community. Both Shoshone and Benewah have some of the highest unemployment rates in north Idaho (Commerce, 2017). Also, from 2012-2016 in Benewah County, there was a -3.52% change in population and Shoshone had a -1.67% change in population (Commerce, 2017).

In recent years, north Idaho has seen a steady increase in substance abuse issues. This challenge was echoed throughout the Forces of Change Assessment for every county. Many people attributed the rise in substance abuse to the proximity to Washington which recently legalized marijuana. Also, being on the I-90 and highway 95 corridors brings in people from all over the country.

Proportion of respondents who felt drug abuse was a health problem



The Community Themes and Strengths assessment found that a greater proportion of respondents felt that drug abuse was a significant health behavior, followed by alcohol abuse. Drug abuse was also identified as a significant health problem in north Idaho.


With the increase in drug use, there has been an increase in overdose related deaths. Between 2012-2016, 44.8% of overdose deaths in north Idaho specified an opioid drug on the death certificate (Welfare, 2017). According to the Opioid Needs Assessment compiled in 2018, Idaho is at a particular high risk of opioid addiction and overdose. 24 of the 44 counties are higher rather than the nation average for opioid prescribed per 100 persons and in northern Idaho, 4 of the 5 counties are higher than the national average.

**ASSETS:**

Although there are limited resources to assist with substance abuse issues. North Idaho has two inpatient centers: Port of Hope and Kootenai Health Chemical Dependency Unit. There are some outpatient recovery centers in Kootenai County: Sequel Alliance Family Services, Resorted Paths, Rathdrum counseling, Abundant Wellness, Ascent Counseling, Ambitions Tamarack Treatment and Counseling, Alcohol and Drug Counseling and Recovery Services.

Currently there are limited resources for individuals seeking care or treatment for substance abuse in Boundary, Bonner, Shoshone and Benewah Counties.

Proportion of respondents who felt drug abuse was a health behavior problem



**ALIGNMENT WITH STATE AND NATIONAL PRIORITIES:**

The Idaho Office of Drug Policy has created the Idaho Opioid Misuse and Overdose Strategic Plan for 2017-2022. Many of the state’s strategies to improve the opioid crisis have been adopted for northern Idaho’s strategic plan for substance abuse.

Additionally, Healthy People 2020 has set a goal to reduce substance abuse to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for all--especially children. Healthy People 2020 has set objectives that focus on increasing the proportion of adolescents who disapprove of substance abuse, increase the proportion of persons who need alcohol and/or illicit drug treatment and received specialty treatment for abuse or dependence in the past year, reduce past-month use of illicit substance abuse and reduce the past-year nonmedical use of prescription drugs.



## SUBSTANCE ABUSE

How do community partners work to reduce the substance abuse issues in North Idaho?

### GOALS

- Create an environment of responsible opioid prescribing in North Idaho
- Support and promote relevant, evidence-based substance abuse information
- Support improved community access to substance abuse services

### STRATEGIES

- Increase public knowledge of responsible opioid prescribing in North Idaho
- Improve provider education and systems issues
- Increase alternatives for pain management
- Increase public awareness of risk and harm of substance abuse
- Expand harm reduction practices
- Increase access to substance abuse resources
- Advocate and promote housing for people recovering



## Priority Issue

# MENTAL HEALTH



## MENTAL HEALTH


### BACKGROUND:

Mental health has been a top priority area for north Idaho community partners since 2014 and remains a top health priority for the next five years. Mental disorders are one of the most common cause of disability, and can affect anyone regardless of gender, age, or socioeconomic background. Mental health is essential to a healthy, thriving community.

The Community Themes and Strengths Assessment survey revealed that mental health was one of the top three health problems in the community.

The chart on the right displays the results from the survey. Participants were asked how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good, and how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good. The results of our survey are compared to the County Health Rankings Top-Performing Cities number of


Average number of days health was not good compared to top-performing cities



unhealthy mental and physical health days. Not only are people in north Idaho experiencing a higher average for the number of mental health days, but of the 851 people from the survey who said they had at least one mental health day in the last 30 days, only 22% sought mental health care. Of the individuals who did not seek mental health care, the survey also found that cost and issues concerning stigma around mental health lead people to not seek treatment.

Another challenge for our mental health care system that was discussed through the Forces of Change Assessment is the lack of mental health providers and hospital beds available for those suffering from mental illness. Furthermore, in a Primary Care Needs Assessment conducted by the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Idaho has a 100% mental health care professional shortage.

In addition to the challenges north Idaho experiences with mental health, Idaho has been in the top 10 states for highest suicide rates over the last several years. Suicide is the second leading cause of death for Idahoans ages 15-34 and for males up to 44 (Suicide Prevention Program, 2018). The chart below displays the Idaho Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics, 5-year average annual rate of suicide by county (Program, 2016). Shoshone and Benewah county experience the highest rates of suicide in north Idaho; however, all counties have a higher suicide rate than the United States and most counties have higher suicide rate than Idaho.



#### ASSETS:

The Northern Idaho Crisis Center is an invaluable resource in the community. The Center provides north Idaho with 24-hour crisis support and assistance for people having a mental health crisis, drug or alcohol problem. It is the product of Idaho Health Partners, a cooperative project between Panhandle Health District, Kootenai Health and Heritage Health.

Additionally, the number of patient-centered medical homes (PCMHs) in the region is growing. One of the core criteria for primary care clinics to receive PCMH recognition is to conduct depression screenings for adults and adolescents using a standardized tool. Through these screenings, more patients will be identified with depression and can receive the help they need.

#### ALIGNMENT WITH STATE AND NATIONAL PRIORITIES:

Healthy People 2020 has a goal to “improve mental health through prevention and by ensuring access to appropriate, quality mental health services.” One of the strategies set by Healthy People 2020 to achieve that goal is increasing depression screenings by primary care providers. This aligns with our north Idaho CHA goal of Improving the limited resources for mental health. In addition, many local clinics are incorporating depression screenings as they transform their primary care practices to patient-centered medical homes.

Healthy People 2020 also has an emphasis on reducing the suicide rate from 11.3/100,000 to 10.2/100,000 for an improvement of 10%. The Suicide Prevention Action Network of Idaho’s mission is to “eradicate suicide in Idaho through statewide advocacy, collaboration, and education in best practices.”

## MENTAL HEALTH

How can we reduce suicide and improve mental health outcomes for the people of North Idaho?

### GOALS

- Improve the limited resources for mental health in North Idaho
- Promote an understanding that mental health is part of total wellness
- Reduce the number of suicides in North Idaho

### STRATEGIES

- Increase funding for mental health resources
- Improve mental health resources for people under the age of 18
- Improve resources for people over the age of 18
- Media campaigns that aim to destigmatize mental illness
- Provide education and training to community partners (e.g. legislators, media, schools, PIO's, etc.) on mental health issues
- Promote suicide prevention and resources

---

## SUMMARY

The Community Health Improvement Plan is an action-oriented, 5-year strategic plan. It was developed by over 30 community partners using a health equity lens and examining the social determinants to health for access to care, substance abuse and mental health.

To increase equitable access to care the committee set goals to, increase the number of mental health, dental and primary care providers to meet the needs of north Idaho residents, reduce barriers to access to care, and increase awareness of all available health resources. With the aim to improve substance abuse issues, the goals are to create an environment of responsible opioid prescribing in north Idaho, support and promote relevant, evidence-based substance abuse information, and to support improved community access to substance abuse services. Finally, to improve mental health outcomes and reduce suicide in north Idaho the committee will work towards improving the limited resources for mental health, promote an understanding that mental health is a part of total wellness, and reduce the number of suicides.

The goals and strategies outlined in the document provide a high-level overview of goals and strategies. The working document that outlines the action plan with timelines, and lead organization can be found at [www.panhandlehealthdistrict.org/community-health-assessment-and-plan](http://www.panhandlehealthdistrict.org/community-health-assessment-and-plan).


Both the CHIP and working document will be reviewed and updated quarterly by the committee.

## References

- CDC. (2017). *CDC National Health Interview Survey Early Release Program*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/insur201705.pdf>
- Commerce, I. (2017). <http://commerce.idaho.gov/site-selection/demographics-and-business-information/>.
- Health, I. D. (2016). *Idaho Health Behaviors*. Idaho Department of health and Welfare.
- Policy, O. o. (2017). *Substance Abuse Prevention*.
- Program, S. P. (2016). *Suicide in Idaho: Fact Sheet*. Boise.
- Statistics, B. o. (2015). *Idaho Health Behaviors*. Idaho Department of Health and Welfare. Retrieved from [https://healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/Portals/0/Health/Statistics/BRFSS%20Reports/Idaho\\_BRFSS\\_Annual\\_Report\\_2015.pdf](https://healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/Portals/0/Health/Statistics/BRFSS%20Reports/Idaho_BRFSS_Annual_Report_2015.pdf)
- Suicide Prevention Program, D. o. (2018). *Suicide in Idaho: Fact Sheet* . Boise.
- Welfare, I. D. (2018). *Opioid Needs Assessment*. Retrieved from Health and Welfare: <https://healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/Portals/0/Medical/SUD/IROC/IROC%20Needs%20Assessment%202018.pdf>



# Balance of State CoC 2018 Point-In-Time Count Report





## Introduction

The U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds local homeless assistance and prevention networks called Continuums of Care (CoC). Idaho is divided into two CoCs: Boise City Ada County (Region Seven) and Balance of State (Regions One through Six). In addition to organizing, delivering and reporting on housing and services for people who are experiencing homelessness, CoCs are required to complete a one-night point in time count of homeless persons during the last ten days in January. Idaho's 14<sup>th</sup> annual Point-In-Time (PIT) count was conducted for the night of January 31, 2018.

The data from PIT Counts helps determine the amount of funding awarded for homeless programs, reports changes among the homeless population and raises public awareness of homelessness. Data from the one-night PIT count and the longitudinal data collected by the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) are the primary sources used to measure the progress in meeting the national strategic goal of preventing and ending homelessness. This report contains only the PIT Count for the Balance of State Continuum of Care (CoC) and does not include the PIT Count for the entire state.

## Point-In-Time Count Overview

The primary goal of the PIT Count is to provide a one-night "snapshot" of the number of homeless persons who are either living on the streets, in places not meant for habitation, or are currently residing in emergency shelters or homeless transitional housing projects..

Using HUD's definition of homelessness for the PIT count, CoCs are instructed to count all adults, children in households, and unaccompanied youth who, on the night of the count, reside in one of the places described below:

- An unsheltered homeless person resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a vehicle or on the streets. Included in this count are people in temporary tents, encampments, and warming centers.
- A sheltered homeless person resides in an emergency shelter, transitional housing or supportive housing for homeless persons who originally came from the streets or emergency shelters.

HUD's definition of homelessness for the PIT count does not include persons who may be staying with friends or relatives, in a hotel/motel, in a treatment facility or in jail. Persons in these circumstances are defined as precariously housed and are often characterized as being at imminent risk of becoming homeless.

The PIT count consists of two methods to collect the sheltered and unsheltered data. The sheltered data is collected in aggregate, from the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), the Community Management Information System (CMIS) and surveys completed by homeless service providers. The unsheltered data is collected from surveys administered directly to individuals. This annual enumeration reports on the exact number of persons counted and is not based on prior reports or estimates of how many homeless persons there may be based on opinion.


Due to the nature of a one-night count the uses of the PIT Count data and its limitations should be noted. It is understood that a one-night point in time count has limitations and in any given year may under-count or over-count the homeless population when compared to data collected over a longer period of time or at other periodic intervals. The CoCs understand these limitations but still find value in the data, as what is deemed most important is the quality and accuracy of the count as opposed to merely the size of the count.

Decreases or increases in the number of persons counted from year to year may indicate a change in the homeless population or external circumstances or both. Conditions that affect the count include: number of organizations participating in the count, homeless persons not accessing shelter or services during the count, volunteers experiencing difficulty finding those who are living on the street who agree to be surveyed, weather or natural disasters, community events, and new or closed projects.

## 2018 PIT Count Results – Balance of State

For the Balance of State Continuum of Care on the night of January 31, 2018, the PIT Count identified 1,256 homeless men, women and children. This represents a 4% increase from 2017.


### 2018 Balance of State CoC Total PIT Count




### Comparison of Unsheltered and Sheltered Count

In 2018, the unsheltered homeless count increased by 14% and the sheltered count decreased by 3%. The decrease in the sheltered count reflects the change from transitional housing projects to Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects, while the increase in the unsheltered count is mainly due to external factors such as the number of volunteers and organizations involved in the count in some regions.


### 2018 Balance of State Sheltered and Unsheltered Count




**Region 1 2018 PIT Count - 228**




**Region 2 2018 PIT Count - 148**




**Region 3 2018 PIT Count - 590**




**Region 4 2018 PIT Count - 102**



**Region 5 2018 PIT Count - 88**



**Region 6 2018 PIT Count - 100**



# Point-in-Time Count ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

Date of PIT Count: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Total Households and Persons

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	278	106	375	759
Total Number of Persons	437	217	602	1,256
Number of Children (under age 18)	134	100	105	339
Number of Persons (18 to 24)	36	9	77	122
Number of Persons (over age 24)	267	108	420	795

## Gender

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	204	130	254	588
Male	232	87	348	667
Transgender	1	0	0	1

## Ethnicity

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	374	179	491	1,044
Hispanic/Latino	63	38	111	212

## Race

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	387	193	466	1,046
Black or African-American	9	4	5	18
Asian	1	0	1	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	1	70	81
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6	0	5	11
Multiple Races	24	19	55	98

# Point-in-Time Count ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	63	51	51	165
Total Number of persons (Adults & Children)	213	161	198	572
Number of Persons (under age 18)	131	100	101	332
Number of Persons (18 - 24)	8	5	17	30
Number of Persons (over age 24)	74	56	80	210

Gender (adults and children)		Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
		Emergency	Transitional		
Female		122	94	105	321
Male		90	67	93	250
Transgender		1	0	0	1

Ethnicity (adults and children)		Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
		Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino		181	127	138	446
Hispanic/Latino		32	34	60	126

Race (adults and children)		Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
		Emergency	Transitional		
White		188	141	163	492
Black or African-American		3	4	2	9
Asian		0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0	9	9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		5	0	0	5
Multiple Races		17	16	24	57

# Point-in-Time Count ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Persons in Households without Children

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	212	55	320	587
Total Number of persons (Adults)	221	56	400	677
Number of Persons (18 - 24)	28	4	60	92
Number of Persons (over age 24)	193	52	340	585

Gender (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	81	36	148	265
Male	140	20	252	412
Transgender	0	0	0	0

Ethnicity (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	191	52	350	593
Hispanic/Latino	30	4	50	84

Race (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	196	52	299	547
Black or African-American	6	0	3	9
Asian	1	0	1	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	1	61	72
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	5	6
Multiple Races	7	3	31	41

# Point-in-Time Count ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Persons in Households with only Children

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	3	0	4	7
Total Number of children (under age 18)	3	0	4	7

Gender (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	1	0	1	2
Male	2	0	3	5
Transgender	0	0	0	0

Ethnicity (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2	0	3	5
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	1	2

Race (adults and children)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	3	0	4	7
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Multiple Races	0	0	0	0

# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State CoC - Veterans Summary

Date of PIT Count: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Total Veteran Households and Persons

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	24	24	52	100
Total Number of Persons	30	39	76	145
Total Number of Veterans	24	24	54	102

## Gender

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	2	3	7	12
Male	22	21	47	90
Transgender	0	0	0	0

## Ethnicity

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	23	23	53	99
Hispanic/Latino	1	1	1	3

## Race

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	21	24	47	92
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	3	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	2	3
Multiple Races	1	0	2	3



# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State CoC - Veterans Summary

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Persons in Veteran Households with at least one Adult and one Child

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	2	4	3	9
Total Number of Persons	7	18	13	38
Total Number of Veterans	2	4	3	9

Gender (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	0	1	0	1
Male	2	3	3	8
Transgender	0	0	0	0

Ethnicity (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2	4	3	9
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0

Race (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	2	4	3	9
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Multiple Races	0	0	0	0

# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State CoC - Veterans Summary

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Persons in Veteran Households without Children

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of Households	22	20	49	91
Total Number of Persons	23	21	63	107
Total Number of Veterans	22	20	51	93

Gender (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	2	2	7	11
Male	20	18	44	82
Transgender	0	0	0	0

Ethnicity (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	21	19	50	90
Hispanic/Latino	1	1	1	3

Race (veterans only)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	19	20	44	83
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	3	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	2	3
Multiple Races	1	0	2	3

# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State - Youth Summary

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Unaccompanied Youth

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total Number of unaccompanied youth households	29	3	44	76
Total number of unaccompanied youth	29	3	50	82
Number of unaccompanied children (under age 18)	3	0	4	7
Number of unaccompanied young adults (age 18 to 24)	26	3	46	75

## Gender

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	14	2	16	32
Male	15	1	34	50
Transgender	0	0	0	0
Don't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0

## Ethnicity

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	24	3	39	66
Hispanic/Latino	5	0	11	16

## Race

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	28	2	46	76
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	4	5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Multiple Races	0	1	0	1

# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State - Youth Summary

Inventory Count Date: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered Count

## Parenting Youth

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Total number of parenting youth households	6	1	4	11
Total number of persons in parenting youth households	13	2	12	27
Number of parenting youth (youth parents only)	7	1	7	15
Number of parenting youth (under age 18)	0	0	0	0
Number of parenting youth (age 18 to 24)	7	1	7	15
Number of children with parenting youth (children under age 18 with	6	1	5	12

## Gender (Youth Parents Only)

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Female	6	1	4	11
Male	1	0	3	4
Transgender	0	0	0	0
Don't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0

## Ethnicity (Youth Parents Only)

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	6	1	7	14
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	0	1

## Race (Youth Parents Only)

	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
White	7	1	5	13
Black or African-American	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	2	2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Multiple Races	0	0	0	0

# PIT Count Idaho Balance of State - Subpopulations Summary

Date of PIT Count: 1/31/2018

Population: Sheltered and Unsheltered

## Chronically Homeless All

	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency		
Chronically Homeless Individuals	27	100	127
Chronically Homeless Families (Total Number of Households)	4	1	5
Chronically Homeless Families (Total Persons in Household)	12	3	15

## Chronically Homeless Veterans (subset of CH All)

	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency Only		
Chronically Homeless Individual Veterans	19	21	40
Chronically Homeless Veteran Families (Total Number of Households)	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless Veteran Families (Total Persons in Household)	0	0	0

## Chronically Homeless Youth (subset of CH All)

	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency Only		
<b>Unaccompanied Youth</b>			
Total number of persons	0	3	3

	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency Only		
<b>Parenting Youth</b>			
Total number of households	0	0	0
Total number of persons	0		0

## Other Homeless Subpopulations

	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency shelters and transitional housing		
Adults with a Serious Mental Illness	61	62	123
Adults with a Substance Use Disorder	66	85	151
Adults with HIV/AIDS	1	1	2
Victims of Domestic Violence	83	39	122

## Idaho Statewide 2018 Balance of State (BoS) Totals by Region

Point in Time Count - January 31, 2018	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>Homeless - Total Count</b>							
Households Without Children	587	127	76	258	24	51	51
Persons in Households Without Children	677	136	96	309	26	57	53
Households w/Children	172	30	16	75	25	10	16
Persons in Households w/Children	579	92	52	281	76	31	47
<b>Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Persons</b>	<b>1256</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>100</b>
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Households Without Children	267	59	15	116	9	34	34
Persons in Households Without Children	277	61	19	117	9	37	34
Households w/Children	117	26	12	32	22	10	15
Persons in Households w/Children	377	77	38	119	70	31	42
<b>Total Sheltered Persons</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>76</b>
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Households Without Children	320	68	61	142	15	17	17
Persons in Households Without Children	400	75	77	192	17	20	19
Households w/Children	55	4	4	43	3	0	1
Persons in Households w/Children	202	15	14	162	6	0	5
<b>Total Unsheltered Persons</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Gender</b>							
Total Males	667	121	79	323	43	54	47
Total Females	588	107	69	267	58	34	53
Total Transgender	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	319	59	22	144	26	40	28
Female	334	79	35	92	52	28	48
Transgender	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	348	62	57	179	17	14	19
Female	254	28	34	175	6	6	5
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Age Group</b>							
25 +	795	161	106	359	52	61	56
18 - 24	122	13	13	69	2	9	16
Under 18	339	54	29	162	48	18	28
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
25 +	375	85	32	145	34	43	36
18 - 24	45	7	2	14	0	7	15
Under 18	234	46	23	77	45	18	25
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
25 +	420	76	74	214	18	18	20
18 - 24	77	6	11	55	2	2	1
Under 18	105	8	6	85	3	0	3
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	81	6	50	13	1	6	5
Asian	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
Black or African American	18	2	1	5	3	1	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11	2	3	5	0	1	0
White	1046	210	93	290	84	74	87
Multi-racial	98	8	1	66	14	5	4
Hispanic/Latino	212	14	2	137	25	21	13

<b>Point in Time Count - January 31, 2018</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	0	2	2	0	3	4
Asian	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Black or African American	13	2	1	3	2	1	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6	0	0	5	0	1	0
White	580	131	53	207	63	60	66
Other/Multi-racial	43	5	1	19	14	2	2
Hispanic/Latino	101	9	2	34	25	20	11
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	70	6	48	11	1	3	1
Asian	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Black or African American	5	0	0	4	1	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	2	3	0	0	0	0
White	466	79	40	291	21	14	21
Other/Multi-racial	55	3	0	47	0	3	2
Hispanic/Latino	111	5	0	103	0	1	2
<b>Sub-Populations - Veterans</b>							
<b>Total Veterans</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>
Veterans - Male	90	34	5	32	2	8	9
Veterans - Female	12	4	0	6	0	2	0
Veterans - Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
Total Veterans	48	24	1	11	0	8	4
Veterans - Male	43	21	1	10	0	7	4
Veterans - Female	5	3	0	1	0	1	0
Veterans - Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
Total Veterans	54	14	4	27	2	2	5
Veterans - Male	47	13	4	22	2	1	5
Veterans - Female	7	1	0	5	0	1	0
Veterans - Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Veterans - Race/Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3	1	1	0	0	1	0
White	92	37	2	37	2	6	7
Multi-racial	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
Hispanic/Latino	3	1	0	1	0	1	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
White	45	24	1	11	0	6	3
Other/Multi-racial	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hispanic/Latino	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0	1	1	0	1	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
White	47	13	2	26	2	0	4
Other/Multi-racial	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Point in Time Count - January 31, 2018	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>Sub-Populations - Unaccompanied Youth (Up to age 24)</b>							
<b>Total Unaccompanied Youth</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>
Unaccompanied Youth Under 18	7	1	0	3	1	2	0
Unaccompanied Youth 18-24	75	9	6	43	2	5	10
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Total Unaccompanied Youth	32	4	1	12	1	5	9
Unaccompanied Youth Under 18	3	0	0	0	1	2	0
Unaccompanied Youth 18-24	29	4	1	12	0	3	9
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Total Unaccompanied Youth	50	6	5	34	2	2	1
Unaccompanied Youth Under 18	4	1	0	3	0	0	0
Unaccompanied Youth 18-24	46	5	5	31	2	2	1
<b>Unaccompanied Youth Gender</b>							
Total Males	50	6	4	32	2	3	3
Total Females	32	4	2	14	1	4	7
Total Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	16	0	1	10	1	2	2
Female	16	4	0	2	0	3	7
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	34	6	3	22	1	1	1
Female	16	0	2	12	1	1	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Unaccompanied Youth - Race/Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0	1	3	0	0	1
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	76	9	5	43	3	7	9
Multi-racial	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	16	1	0	11	0	1	3
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	30	3	1	12	1	5	8
Other/Multi-racial	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	5	0	0	2	0	1	2
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	46	6	4	31	2	2	1
Other/Multi-racial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	11	1	0	9	0	0	1
<b>Sub-Populations - Parenting Youth (Up to age 24)</b>							
<b>Total Parenting Youth Households</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Total Persons in Parenting Youth Households</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
Parenting Youth Parents Under 18 (not children)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parenting Youth Parents 18 - 24	15	1	2	7	0	0	5
Children of Parenting Youth	12	1	1	6	0	0	4



<b>Point in Time Count - January 31, 2018</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Total Parenting Youth Households	7	1	0	2	0	0	4
Total Persons in Parenting Youth Households	15	2	0	4	0	0	9
Parenting Youth Parents Under 18 (not children)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parenting Youth Parents 18 - 24	8	1	0	2	0	0	5
Children of Parenting Youth	7	1	0	2	0	0	4
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Total Parenting Youth Households	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
Total Persons in Parenting Youth Households	12	0	3	9	0	0	0
Parenting Youth Parents Under 18 (not children)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parenting Youth Parents 18 - 24	7	0	2	5	0	0	0
Children of Parenting Youth	5	0	1	4	0	0	0
<b>Parenting Youth Gender</b>							
Total Males	4	0	1	2	0	0	1
Total Females	11	1	1	5	0	0	4
Total Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Female	7	1	0	2	0	0	4
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Male	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
Female	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Parenting Youth - Race/Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	13	1	0	7	0	0	5
Multi-racial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless Parenting Youth</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	8	1	0	2	0	0	5
Other/Multi-racial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless Parenting Youth</u></b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Other/Multi-racial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Chronically Homeless</b>							
<b>Total Chronically Homeless</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>
Chronically Homeless Individuals	127	38	19	40	2	16	12
Chronically Homeless Families	5	2	1	0	0	1	1
Persons in Chronically Homeless Families	15	5	4	0	0	3	3

<b>Point in Time Count - January 31, 2018</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b><u>Sheltered Chronically Homeless</u></b>							
Total Sheltered Chronically Homeless	39	4	4	15	0	12	4
Chronically Homeless Individuals	27	2	0	15	0	9	1
Chronically Homeless Families	4	1	1	0	0	1	1
Persons in Chronically Homeless Families	12	2	4	0	0	3	3
<b><u>Unsheltered Chronically Homeless</u></b>							
Total Unsheltered Chronically Homeless	103	39	19	25	2	7	11
Chronically Homeless Individuals	100	36	19	25	2	7	11
Chronically Homeless Families	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Families	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Chronically Homeless Veterans (Sub-set of all CH)</b>							
<b>Chronically Homeless Veterans</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
Chronically Homeless Veterans Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Chronically Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
Chronically Homeless Veterans	19	0	4	10	0	5	0
Chronically Homeless Veteran Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Veteran Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Chronically Homeless Veterans</u></b>							
Chronically Homeless Veterans	21	6	1	11	0	0	3
Chronically Homeless Veteran Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Veteran Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Chronically Homeless Youth (subset of all CH)</b>							
<b>Chronically Homeless Unaccompanied Youth</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Chronically Homeless Youth</u></b>							
Chronically Homeless Unaccompanied Youth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Chronically Homeless Youth</u></b>							
Chronically Homeless Unaccompanied Youth	3	2	0	0	0	0	1
Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persons in Chronically Homeless Youth Families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>**Sub-Populations Disabling Conditions (Adults Only)</b>							
Substance Abuse	151	65	14	45	13	9	5
Serious Mental Illness	123	44	11	36	5	21	6
HIV/AIDS	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Substance Abuse	66	36	0	27	1	1	1
Serious Mental Illness	61	30	5	12	1	13	0
HIV/AIDS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Substance Abuse	85	29	14	18	12	8	4
Serious Mental Illness	62	14	6	24	4	8	6
HIV/AIDS	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
<b>Sub-Populations - Domestic Violence (Adults Only)</b>							
Victims of Domestic Violence	122	23	16	55	15	8	5
<b><u>Sheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Victims of Domestic Violence	83	17	12	29	15	5	5
<b><u>Unsheltered Homeless</u></b>							
Victims of Domestic Violence	39	6	4	26	0	3	0

\*\*Multiple responses valid for Disabling Conditions

Information as reported in the HMIS, CMIS or regional survey forms. Null values have been extrapolated from the total population by region.

## Participating Shelter/Housing Programs

Agency	Region
Advocates Against Family Violence	3
Aid for Friends	5
Alternatives to Violence of the Palouse	2
Bannock Youth Foundation	5
Bingham Crisis Center	5
Boise Rescue Mission - Nampa	3
Bonner County Homeless Task Force	1
CATCH of Canyon county	4
CLUB, Inc	6
Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Center	6
Eastern Idaho Community Action Partnership (EICAP)	6
Family Promise of LC Valley	2
Family Promise of North Idaho	1
Family Promise of the Palouse	2
Family Service Alliance of SE Idaho	5
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	1-6
Idaho Falls Rescue Mission	6
IDAHO, Inc	3
Lemhi County Crisis Intervention	6
Oneida Crisis Center	5
Post Falls Police - Victim Services Unit	1
Safe House of Twin Falls	4
Safe Passages	1
Salvation Army - Lewiston	2
Salvation Army - Nampa	3
Sojourners' Alliance	2
South Central Community Action Partnership (SCCAP)	4
Southeastern Idaho Community Action Agency (SEICAA)	5
St Pius X Catholic Church	1
St Vincent de Paul - Coeur D'Alene	1
The Advocates for Survivors of Domestic Violence	4
Union Gospel Mission	1
Valley House	4
Voices Against Violence	4
YWCA of Lewiston-Clarkson	2

### Acknowledgements

It is due to outstanding participation of the homeless services providers across the state, the tremendous effort of the PIT Committee, the regional housing coalitions, and the time and dedication of agency staff and numerous volunteers, that we are able to produce this report. Financial assistance to coordinate the count and produce this report was provided in part by the Home Partnership Foundation.

A special acknowledgement is given to the respondents whose data is contained in this report and were willing to share their personal information, experiences and life situations in order to better understand homelessness in our communities.